

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/523,498	<b>Applicant(s)</b> RODRIGUEZ ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> SATYANARAYANA R. GUDIBANDE	<b>Art Unit</b> 1654	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) SATYANARAYANA R. GUDIBANDE.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Mr. Jonathan Sparks.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 7 January 2010

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*None*

Claims discussed:

*8, 28 and 35*

Prior art documents discussed:

*None*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Mr. Jonathan Sparks was contacted on 1/7/10 with a proposed examiner's amendment to place the application in condition for allowance. Mr. Sparks agreed to the proposed amendments.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/SATYANARAYANA R. GUDIBANDE/  
Examiner, Art Unit 1654

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)